arXiv:1610.05290v1 [math.AG] 17 Oct 2016

PHASE TROPICAL HYPERSURFACES

GABRIEL KERR AND ILIA ZHARKOV

Abstract. We prove that a smooth complex hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is homeomorphic to the corresponding phase tropical hypersurface.

1. Introduction

Given a complex or symplectic manifold X one can try to replace it with a polyhedral complex $\mathcal{T}X$. Then studying various geometric structures on X reduces to understanding their respective combinatorial objects in $\mathcal{T}X$. In this paper we provide a homeomorphism between a hypersurface in the complex torus and its phase tropical counterpart.

Consider a hypersurface $H_f \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ defined by a Laurent polynomial

$$f = \sum_{a \in A} c_a z^a,$$

where $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is its set of monomials. Let Q be its Newton polytope, that is, the convex hull of A. Given a coherent triangulation of Q, or even better, a function $\eta : A \to \mathbb{R}$ whose upper graph defines this triangulation, one considers the associated phase tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. This is a polyhedral object which surjects onto the tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Over the relative interior of a face of $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ dual to a simplex τ in the triangulation, the fiber of this surjection is the coamoeba of the truncated hypersurface

$$\sum_{a \in \operatorname{vert} \tau} z^a = 0.$$

Our main result (cf. Theorem 20) states that for a generic polynomial f, the complex hypersurface H_f is homeomorphic to the phase tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_\eta$. This, in particular, proves Viro's conjecture [Vi11]: $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_\eta$ is a topological manifold.

The general hypersurface case is reduced in Section 4 to finite abelian coverings of a pair-of-pants by an application of a non-unimodular version of the Mikhalkin's pair-of-pants decomposition [Mi04]. Thus, the essential ingredient of the proof is the case of the pair-of-pants, which we deal with in Section 2. This is the core of the paper.

Our homeomorphism is constructed from an isomorphism between two regular CW-complexes, one for H_f and the other for $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_\eta$. Refining these complexes one may study Lagrangian skeleta of H_f via skeleta of $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_\eta$ which becomes a combinatorial problem. This approach may be useful for various mirror symmetry applications, see [Sh11], [FU14], [RSTZ14]. We discuss a particular skeleton of the pair-of-pants in Section 3.

In the final stages of writing our paper we were made aware of an announcement by Kim and Nisse of similar results in Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 5.2 of [KN16].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Jianting Huang, Grisha Mikhalkin, David Nadler, Nick Sheridan, Oleg Viro and Peng Zhou for very fruitful conversations on the subject of the paper. Christian Haase shared many essential ideas on how to prove that a polyhedral complex is homeomorphic to the ball. We would also like to thank Dave Auckly, Igor Belegradek and Andreas Thom for helping us with the topological argument at the end of the proof of Proposition 4. The research of I.Z. is partially supported by the NSF FRG grant DMS-1265228.

2. Pair-of-pants

The main result of this section is a homeomorphism between the complex pair-of-pants and the phase tropical pair-of-pants, cf. Theorem 12. The idea is to endow both spaces with structures of isomorphic regular CW-complexes.

We now describe the face lattice of these CW-complexes. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the poset of pairs (σ, J) where, $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ is a cyclically ordered partition of the set $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and J is a subset of $\{0, \dots, n\}$. There is one restriction: J has to contain elements in at least two of the subsets $I_1, \dots, I_k$. This, in particular, means that $k \geq 2$ and $|J| \geq 2$. The order structure is: $(\sigma, J) \preceq (\sigma', J')$ if $J \subseteq J'$ and σ is a coarsening of σ'. We set the rank function to be $\mathrm{rk}(\sigma, J) := k + |J| - 4$. This will be the dimension of the (σ, J)-cell in the CW complex.

In case J is the entire set $\{0, \dots, n\}$ we will often drop the index J from the notation, most notably when a pair (σ, J) is in the subscript.

2.1. **Notations.** Let $\bar{n}$ denote the set $\{0, \dots, n\}$. For any subset $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ we denote by I^c its complement.

Throughout the paper we identify $\mathbb{C}^*$ with $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, we will identify $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*$ with

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}\right) \times \left((\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}/(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})\right),$$

where both $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ act diagonally. We denote the second factor by

$$\mathbb{T}^n := (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}/(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

We will use homogeneous (additive for the last two cases) coordinates

$$\begin{gathered} [z_0, \dots, z_n] \text{ in } (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*, \\ [x_0, \dots, x_n] \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}, \\ [\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n] \text{ in } \mathbb{T}^n. \end{gathered} \tag{1}$$

We write $\theta_i \preceq \theta_j$ if $0 \leq \theta_j - \theta_i \leq \pi$. In particular, if both $\theta_i \preceq \theta_j$ and $\theta_i \succeq \theta_j$ hold, then $\theta_j - \theta_i$ is 0 or π.

For any subset $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ we denote by $\pi_I = [\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n]$ the point in $\mathbb{T}^n$ with coordinates

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} \pi, i \in I \\ 0, i \notin I \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The points π_I and π_{I^c} coincide. The origin $[0, \dots, 0]$ is denoted by 0.

Let

$$\Delta := \{(y_0, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \ : \ y_i \geq 0, \sum y_i = 0\}$$

be the standard n-simplex. For a non-empty subset $J \subset \bar{n}$ the corresponding face Δ_J of Δ is defined by $y_i = 0, i \in J^c$. We will identify $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ with the interior of Δ via the map

$$[x_0, \dots, x_n] \mapsto \left(\frac{e^{x_0}}{e^{x_0} + \dots + e^{x_n}}, \dots, \frac{e^{x_n}}{e^{x_0} + \dots + e^{x_n}} \right). \tag{3}$$

Multiplying by the factor $\mathbb{T}^n$ leads to a compactification of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*$ to the space $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$. For any subset $Y \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*$ we define its **compactified** version $\bar{Y}$ to be the closure of Y in $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ via the map (3) above.

2.2. **Plane polygons, diagonals and Möbius strip subdivisions.** We will consider certain compactification $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_n$ of the moduli space of convex $(n+1)$-gons in the plane. We consider the polygons up to rigid motions of the plane and scaling (but not up to reflections). The allowed degenerations are of two types. First, the exterior angles can become 0 or π (of course, at most two angles can be π). Second, we allow sides of zero lengths, but still keeping track of their directions. In particular, the line though a side of zero length is well defined and the polygon lies on one side of it.

Label the sides of a polygon by the set $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$. Then degeneration strata $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,J}$ are labeled by cyclically ordered partitions $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ which are coarsenings of $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ and subsets $J \subseteq \bar{n}$ of non-zero length sides.

Remark. It is interesting to study the face lattice $\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\sigma,J}\}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_n$. The vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_n$ are labeled by 2-partition coarsenings $\sigma = \{I_-, I_+\}$ of $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ and two-element sets $j_\pm \in I_\pm$ of non-zero length edges. The upper interval of a vertex is Boolean.

Conjecture 1. *The face lattice of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_n$ is isomorphic to the face lattice of a simple polytope.*

The conjecture is manifest for $n = 2$: $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is the hexagon. $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_3$ is the 4-dimensional polytope with 20 vertices and 8 facets, dual to P_{35}^8, one of the 37 simplicial polytopes on 8 vertices classified by Grünbaum and Sreedharan [GS67].

Given a (possibly degenerate) convex polygon $\mathcal{D}$ and a line through its vertex v we say that $\mathcal{D}$ lies *strictly* on one side of the line if both adjacent edge vectors from v lie in the same *open* half-plane. Two vertices are connected by a **diameter** if $\mathcal{D}$ lies strictly between two parallel lines through these vertices. The set of diameters is always not empty (a geometric diameter is a diameter in our sense), and any two diameters intersect (possibly at a vertex). The maximal number of diameters in the $(n+1)$-gon is $n+1$, and this is a generic situation.



FIGURE 1. Diameters in $\mathcal{D}$ and a subdivision of the Möbius strip.

A **diagonal** in the $(n+1)$-gon $\mathcal{D}$ is a pair of vertices (e.g., a side can be a diagonal). A set of diagonals τ is **allowed** if any two diagonals intersect (possibly at the vertex). The set of diameters in a given polygon $\mathcal{D}$ forms an allowed set of diagonals. Conversely, any non-empty allowed set of diagonals τ in the $(n+1)$-gon is realized as the set of diameters of some polygon $\mathcal{D}$. To any set of diagonals τ we can associate a partition $\sigma(\tau)$, which is a coarsening of $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$, by combining the edges non-separated by a vertex in a diagonal into the subsets $I_1, \dots, I_k$. For a given partition $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ the maximal number of diagonals in τ with $\sigma(\tau) \preceq \sigma$ is k.

There is an equivalent way to think about an allowed set of diagonals τ as a decomposition of the Möbius strip. We label the sides of the boundary of the Möbius strip by the cyclically ordered set $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$. Then the intersecting property of the diagonals in $\mathcal{D}$ transforms into non-intersecting property in the Möbius strip. That is, τ can be thought of a decomposition of the Möbius strip into triangles and trapezoids. A maximal decomposition τ with $\sigma(\tau) \preceq \sigma$ is a triangulation (no trapezoids).

Example 2. In Figure 1 the two representations of (a non-maximal) τ are shown for a (maximal) partition $\sigma(\tau) = \{i_0, \dots, i_4\}$. The set τ consists of 4 diagonals (= diameters) in a polygon with sides i_1 and i_4 parallel. The Möbius strip on the right is supposed to be glued by identifying left and right sides with opposite orientations. The sides i_1 and i_4 are opposite in a trapezoid.

All allowed sets of diagonals, or equivalently, all subdivisions of the Möbius strip form a poset under refinements.

2.3. **The pair-of-pants as a CW complex.** The $(n-1)$-dimensional **pair-of-pants** P^{n-1} is the complement of $n+1$ generic hyperplanes in $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. By an appropriate choice of coordinates we can identify P^{n-1} with the affine hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*$ given by the homogenous equation

$$z_0 + z_1 + \cdots + z_n = 0.$$

We define the **compactified pair-of-pants** $\bar{P}^{n-1}$ to be the closure of P^{n-1} in $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ via the map (3). This is a manifold with corners, and it can be thought of as a real oriented blow-up of $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$.



FIGURE 2. Polygons $\mathcal{D}$ for partitions: $\{i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$ and $\{(i_0, i_1), i_2, (i_3, i_4)\}$. Bracketed indices means equalities of their arguments.

We can view points in P^{n-1} as closed oriented broken lines with $n+1$ segments in the plane defined up to rigid motions and scaling. The segments represent the complex numbers $z_0, \dots, z_n$. The elements of the compactification $\bar{P}^{n-1}$ are then represented by closed broken lines with sides of possibly zero length whose directions are still remembered.

By rearranging the variables $z_0, \dots, z_n$ such that their arguments are non-decreasing

$$\arg(z_{i_0}) \preceq \dots \preceq \arg(z_{i_n}) \preceq \arg(z_{i_0}). \tag{4}$$

we associate to any point in $\bar{P}^{n-1}$ a pair (σ, J), where $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ is the cyclically ordered partition given by (4) and $J \subseteq \bar{n}$ is the set of non-zero variables. Then the chain of vectors $z_{i_0} \dots, z_{i_n}$ forms a convex polygon $\mathcal{D}$ (see Fig. 2). The polygon is defined up to reordering the variables within same subsets I_s of σ. Note that there should be non-zero sides in at least two parts of σ. That is, we can view pairs (σ, J) as elements in $\mathcal{W}$.

Let $\Phi_{\sigma,J}$ be the set of points in $\bar{P}^{n-1}$ to which we associate an element $(\sigma, J) \in \mathcal{W}$. Clearly, each $\Phi_{\sigma,J}$ is the relative interior of its closure $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ in $\bar{P}^{n-1}$. Moreover, the closure $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ contains all strata $\Phi_{\sigma',J'}$ with $(\sigma', J') \preceq (\sigma, J)$.

Next we show that $\bar{P}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{(\sigma,J)\in\mathcal{W}} \Phi_{\sigma,J}$ is a CW-complex.

Lemma 3. *For any $(\sigma, J) \in \mathcal{W}$ the stratum $\Phi_{\sigma,J}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{rk}(\sigma,J)}$.*

Proof. First we consider the maximal case $k = n+1$ and $J = \bar{n}$ (remember we drop the subscript J from $\Phi_{\sigma,J}$ in this case). We break the cyclic symmetry in σ by choosing i_0 and setting $z_{i_0} = 1$.

Let $\Phi_\sigma^{(r)} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ be the projection of Φ_σ onto the first r variables (in the order σ), that is the set of possible values of $(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_r})$ in Φ_σ. Consider the projection $\Phi_\sigma^{(r+1)} \to \Phi_\sigma^{(r)}$. The fiber over a point $(z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_r})$ is a two-dimensional polyhedron given by 3 linear inequalities



FIGURE 3. Linear inequalities for $z_{i_{r+1}}$ in terms of the previous z_i defining the polyhedral fiber.

for variables $(x_{i_{r+1}}, y_{i_{r+1}})$ in the plane (cf. Fig. 3):

$$-y_{i_r} \cdot x_{i_{r+1}} + x_{i_r} \cdot y_{i_{r+1}} > 0$$
$$(-\sum_{j=0}^{r} y_{i_j}) \cdot x_{i_{r+1}} + (\sum_{j=0}^{r} x_{i_j}) \cdot y_{i_{r+1}} > 0$$
$$(\sum_{j=0}^{r} y_{i_j}) + y_{i_{r+1}} > 0.$$

Here we use $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1} y_i$. In particular, $x_{i_0} = 1, y_{i_0} = 0$. Note that $\Phi_\sigma^{(1)}$ is the upper-half plane $y_{i_1} > 0$. Thus, $\Phi_\sigma^{(r+1)} \to \Phi_\sigma^{(r)}$ is a trivial fibration by 2-dimensional open polyhedra. Then by induction, Φ_σ is the ball of dimension $2n-2$.

For $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots I_k\}$ with $k < n+1$ one can replace the variables in each I_j by their sum, thus reducing the number of variables to k. Then Φ_σ is a trivial fibration over some maximal $\Phi_{\sigma'}$ for lower n. A fiber consists of possible splittings of the edge vectors into several parallel vectors, that is, it is the products of (open) simplices. The case when J is a proper subset of $\bar{n}$ is similar: the fibers are again products of simplices which parameterize possible directions of the degenerate sides. □

Proposition 4. *$\bar{P}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{(\sigma,J)\in\mathcal{W}} \bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ is a regular CW-complex.*

Proof. First we show that each closed cell $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ is a topological manifold with boundary. Let z be a point in a stratum $\Phi_{\sigma',J'}$ in $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$. Here $\sigma' = \{I'_1, \dots I'_{k'}\}$ is a coarsening of $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots I_k\}$ and $J' \subseteq J$. Let the point z be represented by a polygon $\mathcal{D}$ whose side order respect the partition σ. We choose $\{I_-, I_+\}$, a 2-partition coarsening of σ', and two elements $j_-, j_+ \in J'$, one in each of the subsets I_-, I_+. Let $V_\pm$ be the set of vertices of $\mathcal{D}$ which separate the subsets in the partition $\sigma \cap I_\pm$. Let $J_\pm := J \cap I_\pm \backslash j_\pm$ and $J'_\pm := J' \cap I_\pm \backslash j_\pm$.

We give coordinates in a neighborhood of z. Let $\alpha_\pm$ be the set of exterior angles at the vertices $V_\pm$. Let $E_\pm$ be the set of lengths of sides in $J_\pm$ relative the length of the side $j_\pm$. Cut $\mathcal{D}$ into two halves $\mathcal{D}_\pm$ along the diagonal separating I_- and I_+. Then for any collection of values $\alpha_\pm^\epsilon$ and $E_\pm^\epsilon$ sufficiently close to $\alpha_\pm$ and $E_\pm$ one can uniquely reconstruct a polygon $\mathcal{D}^\epsilon$ by rescaling the deformed halves $\mathcal{D}_\pm^\epsilon$ and gluing them back (see Figire 4). This gives a



FIGURE 4. Gluing polygon from its two deformed halves.

chart in

$$\mathbb{R}^{k-k'}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^{k'-2} \times \mathbb{R}^{|J|-|J'|}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^{|J'|-2}$$

for the neighborhood of z in $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$, where z sits on the boundary.

We showed that each closed cell $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ is a compact topological manifold with boundary whose interior is homeomorphic to the Euclidean space (cf. Lemma 3). In particular, its boundary $\partial\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ is simply connected. We can remove a topological ball from the interior and get an h-cobordism between the boundary and the standard sphere which has to be trivial, at least in dimension > 4. Gluing the ball back in we conclude that $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ has to be a closed ball. In dimensions ≤ 4 one can give an explicit homeomorphism of $\bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ with a simple polytope (see remark after Conjecture 1). That is, $\bigcup_{(\sigma,J)\in\mathcal{W}} \bar{\Phi}_{\sigma,J}$ is a regular CW-complex. □

2.4. **The coamoeba.** Consider the argument map $\mathrm{Arg} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{T}^n$

$$\mathrm{Arg}[z_0, \ldots, z_n] = [\arg(z_0), \ldots, \arg(z_n)].$$

The closure of the image $\mathrm{Arg}(P^{n-1})$ in $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the **coamoeba** $\mathcal{C}$ of the pair-of-pants. One can easily see, cf. e.g. [NS13], [Sh11], that $\mathcal{C}$ is the complement of the interior of the zonotope

$$Z = \sum_{i=0}^{n} [0, \pi_i],$$

where each $[0, \pi_i] \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ is the interval $\theta_0 = \ldots \hat{\theta}_i \ldots = \theta_n \preceq \theta_i$. In fact, the only points on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ contained in the image $\mathrm{Arg}(P^{n-1})$ are the vertices π_I, where I runs over all proper subsets of $\bar{n}$. Nontheless the argument map extends to a continuous surjective map $\mathrm{Arg} : \bar{P}^{n-1} \to \mathcal{C}$ via the projection from $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ onto the second factor.

For any subset $I \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n\}$ we define the partial coamoeba $\mathcal{C}_I$ to be the closure of $\mathrm{Arg}(P^{n-1}_I)$ in $\mathbb{T}^n$, where $P^{n-1}_I \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*$ is the hypersurface given by

$$\sum_{i\in I} z_i = 0.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{n}} = \mathcal{C}$. Also, $\mathcal{C}_I \subseteq \mathcal{C}_J$ for $I \subseteq J$ which can be easily seen by degenerating $z_i, i \in J \setminus I$, to zero. In particular, all $\mathcal{C}_I$ are closed subsets of $\mathcal{C}$.

We call a closed connected subset in $\mathbb{T}^n$ a **polytope** if it continuously lifts to a convex polytope in the universal cover $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$. We give two polytopal decompositions of $\mathcal{C}$. The first is a refinement of the second.



FIGURE 5. Case $n = 2$: two triangles. Case $n = 3$: three of the six octahedra.

- The **alcove** decomposition (which is, in fact, a triangulation) is given by $\binom{n+1}{2}$ pairs of hyperplanes
$$\theta_i - \theta_j \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \text{ for all pairs } i, j \in \bar{n}.$$
In the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ this family of hyperplanes induces a triangulation into $n! \cdot 2^n$ simplices. On the universal cover of the torus this is known as the Delaunay lattice of type A_n. The vertices of this triangulation are the points π_I for all subsets $I \subseteq \bar{n}$. The $(n+1)!$ simplices which are incident to 0 form the zonotope Z, that is, they are not part of the coamoeba. The simplices which are *not* incident to 0 break the coamoeba into $n! \cdot (2^n - n - 1)$ alcoves.
- The **octahedral** decomposition is the subdivision of $\mathcal{C}$ by the hyperplanes
$$\theta_i = \theta_j, \text{ for all pairs } i, j \in \bar{n}.$$
For a cyclically ordered partition $\sigma = \{I_1, \ldots, I_k\}$ the octahedron $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ is defined by
$$\begin{aligned} &\theta_i = \theta_j, \text{ for } i, j \in I_s, \\ &\theta_i \preceq \theta_j, \text{ for } i \in I_s, j \in I_{s+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$
which shows that it is a convex polytope in $\mathbb{T}^n$. There are $n!$ full-dimensional octahedra corresponding to maximal partitions σ, each consists of $(2^n - n - 1)$ alcoves. The $2^n - 1$ vertices are the vertices of the coamoeba and they correspond to proper 2-partitions. They are also the vertices of the alcove decomposition.

Remark. We do not treat the octahedral decomposition as a polyhedral complex. There are faces of octahedra on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$, which we do not call octahedra. The alcove decomposition, on the other hand, is a polyhedral complex.

Example 5. For $n = 2$ both decompositions give 2 triangles. For $n = 3$ there are 24 alcoves and 6 octahedra, each consisting of 4 alcoves. In Fig. 5 the red octahedron is $\mathcal{O}_{0213}$ and the blue one is $\mathcal{O}_{0321}$. The triangle face common to the red and green octahedra is $\mathcal{O}_{02(13)}$. The indices within the same brackets belong to the same subset in the partition.

Let us fix a partition $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ and choose a refinement $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ of σ. We describe the face lattice of the alcove triangulation of $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$. Let τ be a subdivision of the Möbius strip with boundary segments labelled by $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$, or equivalently, an allowed set of diagonals in the $(n+1)$-gon. Note that an alcove $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ belongs to an octahedron $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ if the partition $\sigma(\tau)$ is a coarsening of σ. So the choice of the refinement $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ of σ is irrelevant.

Let $\sigma(\tau) = \{I'_1, \dots, I'_{k'}\}$. For any pair I'_r, I'_s take a non-separating diagonal in τ. It breaks the cyclic symmetry and allows us to order I'_r and I'_s according to the orientation of $\mathcal{D}$. If I'_s is smaller than I'_r then we write $I'_r \preceq_\tau I'_s$. All non-separating diagonals give the same ordering of I'_r, I'_s (otherwise the diagonals would not intersect). If all diagonals in τ separate I'_r form I'_s, then I'_r and I'_s are the opposite sides of a trapezoid in the Möbius strip decomposition τ.

The alcove $\mathcal{A}_\tau \subset \mathcal{C}$ is then defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta_i = \theta_j, \text{ for } i, j \in I'_s, \\ &\theta_i \preceq \theta_j, \text{ for } i \in I'_r, j \in I'_s, \text{ if } I'_r \preceq_\tau I'_s, \\ &\theta_i - \theta_j = \pi, \text{ for } i \in I'_r, j \in I'_s, \text{ if } (I'_r, I'_s) \text{ are opposite sides of a trapezoid in } \tau, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \bigcup_{\tau \,:\, \sigma(\tau) \preceq \sigma} \mathcal{A}_\tau$. The face lattice is given by coarsenings of τ's. The dimension of $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is the number of diagonals in τ minus 1.

The conditions (6) translate into geometry of polygons $\mathcal{D}$ as follows. A polygon represents a point in the relative interior of $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ if and only if τ is the set of diameters in $\mathcal{D}$ (cf. Section 2.2).

2.5. **Phase tropical pair-of-pants as a CW complex.** Consider

$$F(x) = \max\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

a convex PL function on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Its corner locus is invariant under the diagonal translation by $\mathbb{R}$, and it descends to an $(n-1)$-dimensional polyhedral fan in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$, which is known as the **tropical hyperplane** $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$.

The cones $\mathcal{P}_I$ in $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$ are indexed by the subsets $I \subset \bar{n}$ of size $|I| \geq 2$: the cone $\mathcal{P}_I$ is defined by

$$x_i = x_j \geq x_k, \text{ for all } i, j \in I,\ k \notin I.$$

Notice that the closure $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{n-1}$ of $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$ in Δ is a polyhedral complex. Indeed, the moment map (3) takes each cone $\mathcal{P}_I$ to a linear subspace in the interior of Δ and the linearity extends to the closure. Also note that the face Δ_J of the simplex Δ intersects the closure of $\mathcal{P}_I$ only if the subset J contains I. Thus, the boundary faces $\mathcal{P}_{I,J}$ have additional labels $J \subset \bar{n}$ with $J \supseteq I$. Each $\mathcal{P}_{I,J}$ is a polytope of dimension $|J| - |I|$ in Δ_J.

The **phase tropical pair-of-pants** $\mathcal{TP}^{n-1} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}^n$ is the union

$$\mathcal{TP}^{n-1} := \bigcup_{I \subset \bar{n}, |I| \geq 2} (\mathcal{P}_I \times \mathcal{C}_I).$$

The compactified version $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{n-1}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$ in $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$. It maps to Δ and to $\mathbb{T}^n$ via the two projections p_1, p_2 to the factors in $\Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$.

Let $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ be a cyclically ordered partition of $\bar{n}$ and $J \subseteq \bar{n}$. We define the subset

$$\Psi_{\sigma,J} := p_1^{-1}(\Delta_J) \cap p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma)$$

in $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{n-1}$. Note that $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is non-empty only if J contains a subset of two elements $\{j_-, j_+\}$ such the partial coamoeba $\mathcal{C}_I$, which is the $(n-1)$-torus $\{\theta_{j_+} - \theta_{j_-} = \pi\} \subset \mathbb{T}^n$, intersects $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$. That means that j_-, j_+ should belong to two different subsets in $I_1, \dots, I_k$. In other words, the pairs (σ, J) can be thought of as elements in $\mathcal{W}$.

Our goal is to show the following:

Proposition 6. *The decomposition of $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{n-1}$ into the cells $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is a regular CW complex.*

Proof of Proposition 6. In Lemmas 8 and 9 below we show that each closed cell $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is a collapsible PL manifold with boundary. Then a version of the regular neighborhood theorem (cf., e.g., [Fo98], Theorem 1.6) implies that $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is homeomorphic to the closed ball. □

We begin with the collapsibility. First we give $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ the structure of a polyhedral complex in $\Delta_J \times \mathbb{T}^n$. The problem is that the partial coamoebas $\mathcal{C}_I$ do not intersect octahedra along their faces. This is resolved by using the alcove refinement of $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$.

Fix a total cyclic ordering $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \succeq \sigma$ and let I be a subset in $\bar{n}$. We represent points in $\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ by polygons $\mathcal{D}$ with sides in I. Let $\sigma(I) := \sigma \cap I = \{I'_1, \dots I'_r\}$ be the induced partition of I. Then observe that the intersection $\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ is a polytope in $\mathbb{T}^n$, which, in addition to (5) is cut out by inequalities

$$\theta_i \preceq \theta_j, \text{ for } i \in I'_s, j \in I'_{s+1}. \tag{7}$$

Because the polytope $\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ is cut out by hyperplanes in the form $\theta_i - \theta_j \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$, it intersects any alcove $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ along a face of $\mathcal{A}_\tau$.

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ be an alcove in $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$. For any subset $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ the intersection of $\mathcal{C}_I$ with $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is a face $\mathcal{A}_{\tau(I)}$ of $\mathcal{A}_\tau$, where $\tau(I)$ is obtained from τ by removing the diagonals which do not separate I. The intersection is empty if all diagonals in τ are non-separating.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ is a polytope it is enough to identify which vertices of the simplex $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ belong to $\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$. A vertex of $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is given by a 2-partition of $\bar{n}$ which corresponds to a diagonal in τ. This diagonal is the only diameter in a representing polygon $\mathcal{D}$. That means that $\mathcal{D}$ should have non-zero length sides on both sides of the diameter. □

Thus we get a polyhedral complex

$$\Psi_{\sigma,J} = \bigcup_{(I,J',\tau)} \mathcal{P}_{I,J'} \times \mathcal{A}_\tau.$$

Its faces are labelled by the triples (I, J', τ) such that $I \subseteq J' \subseteq J$, $\tau(I) = \tau$ and $\sigma(\tau) \preceq \sigma$.

Recall the collapsing operation on polyhedral complexes. Let F be a face of a complex X and let G be a facet of F, such that G is not a subface of any other face in X. Then we remove both F and G and call this an elementary collapse. We say that a polyhedral complex is **collapsible** if it can be reduced to a vertex by a sequence of elementary collapses.

Lemma 8. *$\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is a collapsible polyhedral complex of pure dimension* $\mathrm{rk}(\sigma, J)$.

Proof. The maximal faces in $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ are of two types. First type: τ is a maximal set of the diagonals subject to $\sigma(\tau) \preceq \sigma = \{I_1, \ldots, I_k\}$ and I has three elements (it is impossible that all k diagonals separate a set of two elements). The second type occurs when I is a 2-element subset and τ, thought of a Möbis strip decomposition, contains a single trapezoid (and $k-2$ triangles) with the two elements of I lying on opposite sides of it. The dimension in both cases is

$$\dim(\mathcal{P}_{I,J} \times \mathcal{A}_{I(\tau)}) = |J| - |I| + \#\{\text{diagonals in } \tau(I)\} - 1 = |J| + k - 4 = \mathrm{rk}(\sigma, J).$$

A face $\mathcal{P}_{I,J'} \times \mathcal{A}_\tau$ is a subface in $\mathcal{P}_{I,J} \times \mathcal{A}_\tau$. Then by adding diagonals and/or removing elements from I one can see that a face $\mathcal{P}_{I,J} \times \mathcal{A}_\tau$ is, in turn, a subface of a maximal face.

To collapse $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ we look at the face lattice $\{(I, J', \tau)\}$ of $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$. For a given pair (I, τ) the interval between (I, I, τ) and (I, J, τ) consists of all subsets J' between I and J. That is, it is Boolean, so it possesses a matching unless $I = J$. Note that if τ is maximal, no element in the interval (I, τ) is a subface of a face outside the interval. Then we can remove the entire interval. The same can be said about intervals with $|I| = 2$. Proceeding by alternating induction on number of diagonals in τ and number of elements in I we remove all faces of $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ except those with $I = J$.

Thus, it remains to collapse the fiber over the vertex $\mathcal{P}_{J,J}$. This fiber is the polytope $\mathcal{O}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{C}_J$ subdivided into alcoves. Thus, it has the face lattice of a triangulated polytope, which is clearly collapsible to a point. □

Lemma 9. *$\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is a topological manifold with boundary.*

We start by proving one general property of convex cones. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and write V^* for its dual. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset V$ and $\mathcal{R}^\vee \subset V^*$ be a dual pair of convex cones. That is

$$\mathcal{R} = \{v \in V \ : \ \lambda(v) \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathcal{R}^\vee\}, \tag{8}$$

and vice versa. We assume both $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\vee$ have non-empty interiors. For any $v \in \mathcal{R}$ we define the **supporting tangent cone** $T_v\mathcal{R}$ to be the set of vectors in V lying in supporting hyperplanes to $\mathcal{R}$ at v.

We define the **total supporting tangent space** of $\mathcal{R}$ to be the union

$$T\mathcal{R} := \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{R}} (\{v\} \times T_v\mathcal{R}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^\vee \setminus \{0\}} ((\ker \lambda \cap \mathcal{R}) \times \ker \lambda) \subset V \times V. \tag{9}$$

The first presentation shows that $T\mathcal{R}$ is a fibration over $\mathcal{R}$ supported on its boundary ∂R. An example of a two dimensional polyhedral cone $\mathcal{R}$ is illustrated in Figure 6.



FIGURE 6. Two dimensional cone and fibers of the total tangent cone of its boundary.

We fix a vector $\tilde{v}$ in the interior of $\mathcal{R}$ and a vector $\tilde{\lambda}$ in the interior of $\mathcal{R}^\vee$, such that $\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{v}) = 1$. Then in the second presentation of $T\mathcal{R}$ in (9) it is enough to take the union over $\{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^\vee \ : \ \lambda(\tilde{v}) = 1\}$.

Denote by W the quotient space $V/\langle\tilde{v}\rangle$, and we write $\pi : V \to W$ for the projection. Consider the map $\phi : T\mathcal{R} \to W$ given by $\phi(v, u) = \pi(u)$.

Lemma 10. *The total supporting tangent space $T\mathcal{R}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$. Moreover, the map $\phi : T\mathcal{R} \to W$ is a trivial fiber bundle with fiber homeomorphic to W.*

Proof. We will show that the following map $\psi : T\mathcal{R} \to W \times W$ given by

$$\psi(v, u) = \left(\pi(v) + \tilde{\lambda}(u) \cdot \pi(u), \pi(u)\right). \tag{10}$$

is a homeomorphism. The geometric meaning of the map ψ is to "stretch out" the fibers $\phi^{-1}(w)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, see Figure 7. Then $\phi : T\mathcal{R} \to W$ is the composition of ψ with the projection onto the second factor and, hence, is a topologically trivial fiber bundle with fiber homeomorphic to W.

The map ψ is clearly continuous. Surjectivity follows from the Intermediate Value theorem applied to each fiber $\phi^{-1}(w)$ for a given $w \in W$.



FIGURE 7. The boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$ on the left and an example of the fiber $\phi^{-1}(w)$ for some $w \in W$. $\phi^{-1}(w)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Injectivity: Let $\psi(v_1, u_1) = \psi(v_2, u_2)$. Then according to (10) we must have

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 - v_2 + \tilde{\lambda}(u_1 - u_2) \cdot u_1 = 0 \mod \tilde{v} \\ v_1 - v_2 + \tilde{\lambda}(u_1 - u_2) \cdot u_2 = 0 \mod \tilde{v}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Say, $v_1, u_1 \in \ker \lambda_1$ and $v_2, u_2 \in \ker \lambda_2$ for some $\lambda_{1,2} \in \mathcal{R}^\vee$ with $\lambda_{1,2}(\tilde{v}) = 1$. Note that $\lambda_1(v_2) \geq 0$ and $\lambda_2(v_1) \geq 0$. Applying λ_1 and λ_2, to the respective equations (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 - v_2 + \tilde{\lambda}(u_1 - u_2) \cdot u_1 = -\lambda_1(v_2) \cdot \tilde{v} \\ v_1 - v_2 + \tilde{\lambda}(u_1 - u_2) \cdot u_2 = \lambda_2(v_1) \cdot \tilde{v} \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting one equation from another we get

$$\tilde{\lambda}(u_1 - u_2) \cdot (u_1 - u_2) = -(\lambda_1(v_2) + \lambda_2(v_1)) \cdot \tilde{v}. \tag{12}$$

Finally applying $\tilde{\lambda}$ we arrive at $\tilde{\lambda}(u_1 - u_2)^2 \leq 0$, which combined with $\pi(u_1) = \pi(u_2)$ gives $u_1 = u_2$. That implies that $\pi(v_1) = \pi(v_2)$, and since both $v_{1,2} \in \partial\mathcal{R}$, we have $v_1 = v_2$.

Continuity of the inverse: fix a Euclidean metric on $\ker \tilde{\lambda} \cong W$ and extend it to a Euclidean metric on V. Then notice that the linear functionals $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^\vee$ with $\lambda(\tilde{v}) = 1$ are uniformly bounded, and so are the ratios $|u|/|\pi(u)|, |v|/|\pi(v)|$ for all $(v, u) \in T\mathcal{R}$. Suppose $|\psi(v_1, u_1) - \psi(v_2, u_2)| < \epsilon$. Then following the injectivity arguments above we deduce that $|v_1 - v_2| < C\epsilon$ and $|u_1 - u_2| < C\epsilon$ for some universal constant C. □

Remark. The lemma is a consequence of the convexity property of $\mathcal{R}$. It holds for $\mathcal{R}$ replaced by the upper graph of any convex function $f : W \to \mathbb{R}$, but we don't need this more general statement here.

We apply the above lemma to the following example. Let $\sigma_v = \{I_-, I_+\}$ be a 2-partition of $\bar{n}$. Define the polyhedral cone $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ by the following set of inequalities in the homogeneous coordinates:

$$x_i \leq x_j, \quad i \in I_-,\ j \in I_+. \tag{13}$$

Its boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is a polyhedral fan, whose cones $\partial\mathcal{R}_{(J_-J_+)}$ are labelled by pairs of non-empty subsets $(J_-, J_+) \subseteq (I_-, I_+)$. That is, the face lattice of $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is dual to the face lattice of the product of two simplices $\Delta_{I_-} \times \Delta_{I_+}$.

We identify the tangent space of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ at any point with $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ and consider the total supporting tangent space:

$$T\mathcal{R} \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R})$$

as in Lemma 10. Let $[u_0, \ldots, u_n]$ be the homogeneous coordinates in the tangent direction parallel to $[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$.

Let x be a point in the relative interior of a face $\partial\mathcal{R}_{(J_-J_+)}$. A vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ is in the supporting tangent cone $T_x\mathcal{R}$ if and only if it is in the kernel of some non-zero linear

functional in $\mathcal{R}^\vee$. That means that u separates a pair of vectors $j_1^- - j_1^+,\ j_2^- - j_2^+$ for some $j_{1,2}^- \in J_-$ and $j_{1,2}^+ \in J_+$. Or, in other words,

$$u_{j_1^-} - u_{j_1^+} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_{j_2^-} - u_{j_2^+} \geq 0. \tag{14}$$

Let

$$\sigma = \{\underbrace{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}}_{I_-}, \underbrace{i_r, \dots, i_n}_{I_+}\}$$

be a maximal refinement of the 2-partition $\sigma_v = \{I_-, I_+\}$. Then for a pair $(J_-, J_+) \subseteq (I_-, I_+)$ we order the elements within each of the subsets

$$(J_-, J_+) = (\{j_{\min}^-, \dots, j_{\max}^-\}, \{j_{\min}^+, \dots, j_{\max}^+\}). \tag{15}$$

Let $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ be the vector with homogeneous coordinates $u_i = 0, i \in I_-$, and $u_j = 1, j \in I_+$. As in Lemma 10 denote by W with the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R})/\langle\tilde{v}\rangle$ and let $\phi : T\mathcal{R} \to W$ be the projection onto W in the tangent factor. Then the following set of inequalities

$$u_{i_0} \leq \dots \leq u_{i_{r-1}}, \quad u_{i_r} \leq \dots \leq u_{i_n}, \tag{16}$$

makes sense in the quotient space $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R})/\langle\tilde{v}\rangle$ and it defines a cone $C_{v,\sigma}$ there. Then by Lemma 10, $\phi^{-1}(C_{v.\sigma})$ is homeomorphic to $W \times C_{v,\sigma}$, which is a manifold with boundary. Now given the inequalities (16) for the cone $C_{v,\sigma}$, the existence of elements $j_{1,2}^- \in J_-$ and $j_{1,2}^+ \in J_+$ satisfying (14) becomes equivalent to

$$u_{j_{\max}^-} \geq u_{j_{\min}^+}, \quad u_{j_{\max}^+} \geq u_{j_{\min}^-}. \tag{17}$$

Thus, the tangent fiber of $\phi^{-1}(C_{v,\sigma})$ over the relative interior of a face $\partial\mathcal{P}_{(J_-J_+)}$ is cut out by the following set of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i_0} \leq \dots \leq u_{i_{r-1}}, \quad u_{i_r} \leq \dots \leq u_{i_n}, \\ u_{j_{\max}^-} \geq u_{j_{\min}^+}, \quad u_{j_{\max}^+} \geq u_{j_{\min}^-}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Let us now return to the phase tropical pair-of-pants. First, recall the notion of the local fan in a polyhedral complex. If v is a vertex in a face F of a polyhedral complex $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then the **local cone** $\Sigma_v F$ of F at v is the set of vectors

$$\{w \in T_v\mathbb{R}^n \ : \ v + \epsilon w \in F, \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\} \tag{19}$$

in the tangent space $T_v\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. The **local fan** $\Sigma_v X$ of X at v is the union of local cones over all faces $F \subset X$ containing v.

To prove Lemma 9 we will have to show that the local fan $\Sigma_v\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ at any vertex v of $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ is homeomorphic to the $(k + |J| - 4)$-dimensional half-space. It is enough to consider maximal $\sigma = \{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ (i.e., $k = n + 1$), maximal $J = \bar{n}$ and vertices in $\Psi_{\sigma,J}$ over the origin of $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$. Any other case can be reduced to a maximal one in lower dimensions.

Proof of Lemma 9. Let $v = \pi_{I_-} = \pi_{I_+}$ (cf. Notations) be a vertex of $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$. It corresponds to a 2-partition

$$\sigma_v = \{I_-, I_+\} = \{(i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}), (i_r, \dots, i_n)\},$$

which is a coarsening of $\sigma = \{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$. We abuse the notation and denote by v also the vertex of Ψ_σ lying over the origin of $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$. Let u_i be the homogeneous coordinates on the tangent space $T_v\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$ which are parallel to the coordinates θ_i on $\mathbb{T}^n$.

The local fan $\Sigma_v\Psi_\sigma$ is a fibration over the tropical hyperplane $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$. Now we identify the fiber over a face $\mathcal{P}_I$ of $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$. Let

$$(J_-, J_+) := (I \cap I_-, I \cap I_+) = (\{j^-_{\min}, \dots, j^-_{\max}\}, \{j^+_{\min}, \dots, j^+_{\max}\}) \subseteq (I_-, I_+)$$

be the 2-partition of I induced by σ_v. Then, first of all, we note that the fiber is non-empty if $v \in \mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$, that is, if both sets J_-, J_+ are non-empty. In this case the defining inequalities (5) and (7) for the polytope $\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ at the vertex v are transformed for the local cone $\Sigma_v(\mathcal{C}_I \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma)$ into

$$\begin{gathered} u_{i_0} \le \dots \le u_{i_{r-1}}, \quad u_{i_r} \le \dots \le u_{i_n}, \\ u_{j^-_{\max}} \ge u_{j^+_{\min}}, \quad u_{j^+_{\max}} \ge u_{j^-_{\min}}, \end{gathered} \tag{20}$$

In particular, the fiber over the origin, which is the cone at v of the full octahedron $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ is defined by

$$\begin{gathered} u_{i_0} \le \dots \le u_{i_{r-1}}, \quad u_{i_r} \le \dots \le u_{i_n}, \\ u_{i_{r-1}} \ge u_{i_r}, \quad u_{i_n} \ge u_{i_0}. \end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

The first two sets of inequalities come from the ordering $\theta_{i_s} \preceq \theta_{i_{s+1}}$ in σ. The last two is a consequence of

$$\theta_{i_r} - \theta_{i_{r-1}} \le \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i_0} - \theta_{i_n} \le \pi.$$

The collection of faces $\mathcal{P}_I$ with non-empty fibers forms a subfan $\mathcal{P}(v)$ of $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$ whose cones $\mathcal{P}_{(J_-J_+)}$ are labelled by pairs of non-empty subsets $(I \cap I_-, I \cap I_+) \subseteq (I_-, I_+)$. That is, the face lattice of $\mathcal{P}(v)$ is dual to the face lattice of $\Delta_{I_-} \times \Delta_{I_+}$. In particular the fan $\mathcal{P}(v)$ is isomorphic to the fan $\partial\mathcal{R}$ for the polyhedral cone $\mathcal{R}$ defined by (13). The defining inequalities for the fiber over each face $\mathcal{P}_I$ are identical to the inequalities (18). Thus $\Sigma_v\Psi_\sigma$ is homeomorphic to $\phi^{-1}(C_{v,\sigma})$, which, in turn, is homeomorphic to the $(2n-2)$-dimensional half-space. □

Remark. Although the polyhedral fans $\partial\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{P}(v)$ are isomorphic, they really are different fans in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$. The former bounds a convex cone, the latter generally does not.

For a given a vertex v, that is a partition $\{I_-, I_+\}$, one can vary refinements σ. Then we can combine the $r! \cdot s!$ cones $C_{v,\sigma}$ from all octahedra adjacent to v which subdivide $W = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R})/\langle\tilde{v}\rangle$ into a complete simplicial fan. Then the full local fan of $\mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ at v can be identified with the total supporting tangent space $T\mathcal{R}$, which is homeomorphic to a $(2n-2)$-ball. This gives a direct proof of Viro's conjecture (cf. [Vi11] Section 5.10):

Corollary 11. *$\mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ is a topological manifold.*

This also follows from our main result of this section:

Theorem 12. *$\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to $\bar{P}^{n-1}$, and $\mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ is homeomorphic to P^{n-1}.*

Proof. Two isomorphic regular CW complexes are homeomorphic (by, say, identifying their first baricentric subdivisions). And so are their interiors. □

3. A skeleton of the pair-of-pants

A skeleton $\mathcal{Z}$ of the complex pair-of-pants P^{n-1} plays an important role in certain calculations in mirror symmetry (cf. [Sh11], [FU14]). It sits very naturally in the coamoeba $\mathcal{C}$ of P^{n-1}. Here we will describe a particular lift of $\mathcal{Z}$ to the pair-of-pants. This section is not essential for proving the main result of the paper, rather it serves as an outlook for further research directions.

3.1. **The permutahedron.** We give a description of $\mathcal{Z}$ in terms of a Voronoi tiling, which might be somewhat different from the standard one as the convex hull of vertices. A good reference for Voronoi tilings is [Er94].

It is convenient to utilize the terminology of A_n root system. Let $e_0, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$. The set of **roots** in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} := (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R})^* \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$ is given by all differences $\alpha_{ij} = e_i - e_j$. The inner product on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ is induced from $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$. Over $\mathbb{Z}$ the roots generate the **root lattice** $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The **weight lattice** $W \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the dual lattice to Λ with respect to the inner product. For any partition of $\bar{n}$ into two non-empty subsets $\{I_-, I_+\}$, we define the **fundamental weight**

$$w_{I_-,I_+} = \frac{r}{n+1} \sum_{j \in I_+} e_j - \frac{s}{n+1} \sum_{i \in I_-} e_i \ \in W, \tag{22}$$

where $r = |I_-|$ and $s = |I_+| = n + 1 - r$. Its squared length is

$$|w_{I_-,I_+}|^2 = \frac{sr^2 + rs^2}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{rs}{n+1}.$$

There are $2^{n+1} - 2$ fundamental weights.

The quadratic form $Q(w) = \pi|w|^2$ on W provides the corresponding **Delaunay triangulation** of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ by looking at the convex upper hull of its graph. This triangulation is W-periodic. For A_n root system this is a **dicing**, that is, it is given by a totally unimodular system of families of parallel hyperplanes (cf. [Er94]).

The edges in the Delaunay triangulation incident to 0 are the fundamental weights. The maximal simplices incident to 0 correspond to permutations $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ of the set $\bar{n}$. Such a permutation determines a choice of positive and simple roots in Λ. Then the corresponding set of n **dominant** fundamental weights

$$\frac{1}{n+1}(-1, \dots, -1, n), \quad \frac{1}{n+1}(-2, \dots, -2, n-1, n-1), \quad \dots \quad , \frac{1}{n+1}(-n, 1, \dots, 1) \tag{23}$$

(written in the basis $e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_n}$) span a maximal Delaunay simplex, also known as the Weyl alcove. More generally, the faces in the Delaunay triangulation (up to translations by W) are labelled by ordered partitions $\bar{\sigma} = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ of the set $\bar{n}$. There is just one vertex, it corresponds to the 1-partition $\bar{\sigma} = \{\bar{n}\}$.

We now consider the dual space $\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$. The basis $e_0, \dots, e_n$ defines homogeneous coordinates $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ in $\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}}$. The **coweight lattice** Λ^* which is dual to Λ is naturally identified with the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$.

The A_n-Delaunay triangulation of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a dicing. This is equivalent to that the dual decomposition of $\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$, known as the **Voronoi tiling**, is given by zonotopes. This tiling is $2\pi\Lambda^*$-periodic. Explicitly, the Voronoi tiles are the domains of linearity of the Legendre transform of $Q(w)$ – the convex quasi-periodic PL function on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}$:

$$\Theta(u) = \max_{w \in W} \{\langle w, u\rangle - \pi |w|^2\}.$$

We denote by Perm the central maximal Voronoi tile (the one which contains 0 in its interior). Other maximal tiles are translations of Perm by elements in the lattice $2\pi\Lambda^*$. In homogeneous coordinates $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ the central tile is defined by the following inequalities:

$$-\frac{s}{n+1}\sum_{i \in I_-} x_i + \frac{r}{n+1}\sum_{j \in I_+} x_j \le \frac{rs}{n+1}\pi, \tag{24}$$

one inequality per each fundamental weight w_{I_-,I_+}.

The vertices of Perm are in one-to-one correspondence with the maximal simplices of the Delaunay triangulation incident to 0. More precisely, the vertex of Perm which corresponds to a permutation $\bar\sigma = \{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$ in homogeneous coordinates $[x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_n}]$ is

$$\rho^\vee_{\bar\sigma} = \frac{\pi}{n+1}[1, 3, \dots, 2n-1, 2n+1]. \tag{25}$$

Indeed, we evaluate $\langle \rho^\vee_{\bar\sigma}$ on the set of the dominants weights (23). The calculation for a weight

$$w_{I_-,I_+} = (\underbrace{-\frac{s}{n+1}, \dots, -\frac{s}{n+1}}_{r}, \underbrace{\frac{r}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{r}{n+1}}_{s})$$

becomes more transparent if we represent $\rho^\vee_{\bar\sigma}$ by

$$\rho^\vee_{\bar\sigma} = \frac{\pi}{n+1}[-2r+1, -2r+3, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, 2s-1].$$

Then

$$\langle \rho^\vee, w_{I_-,I_+}\rangle = \frac{\pi}{(n+1)^2}(r^2 s + s^2 r) = \pi Q(w_{I_-,I_+}).$$

The vertices of Perm are permuted under the action of the symmetric group action, so Perm is indeed a permutahedron. But it is a very special one: it tiles the space.

Proposition 13. *The zonotope $Z = \sum_{i=0}^n [0, \pi_i]$ lies entirely in* Perm. *Moreover, the vertices of Z are the only points on the boundary of* Perm.

Proof. The vertices of the zonotope Z are labelled by 2-partitions $\{I_-, I_+\}$ of $\bar n$ They are in one-to-one correspondence with the facets of Perm. It is enough to show that each vertex



FIGURE 8. Permutahedral tiling of $\mathbb{R}^3$ (in red). The grey are the zonotopes Z.

sits in the relative interior of the corresponding facet of Perm. A vertex $v_{I_-,I_+} = [x_0, \dots, x_n]$ has homogeneous coordinates

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \pi, i \in I_+ \\ 0, i \in I_- \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Then we see that v_{I_-,I_+} satisfies all inequalities (24), of which exactly one is equality and the others are strict. □

3.2. **The skeleton $\mathcal{Z}$.** We now turn our attention to the torus $\mathbb{T}^n = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R})/2\pi\Lambda^*$ and the coamoeba $\mathcal{C}$ in it. Let θ_i, $i = 0, \dots, n$ be the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{T}^n$ which we sometimes call **angles**.

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the image of the boundary of Perm in $\mathbb{T}^n$. Of the $(n+1)!$ vertices of Perm only $n!$ are distinct in $\mathbb{T}^n$. They correspond to total *cyclic* orderings $\sigma = \{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$. The pairs of opposite facets of Perm are identified, thus giving $2^n - 1$ facets of $\mathcal{Z}$ labelled by cyclically ordered 2-partitions $\sigma = \{I_-, I_+\}$. Each contains the corresponding vertex of $\mathcal{C}$ in its relative interior.

More generally, the faces $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ are labelled by the cyclically ordered partitions $\sigma = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$. Thus the face lattice of $\mathcal{Z}$ is dual to the lattice of the octahedral subdivision $\{\mathcal{O}_\sigma\}$ of $\mathcal{C}$. We call the intersection point $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ the **baricenter** of the face $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ ($\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ are of complimentary dimensions, except the case of facets of $\mathcal{Z}$). To write it in homogenous coordinates θ_i we let

$$\alpha_s := \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} |I_j| + \frac{1}{2}|I_s|, \quad s = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then the baricenter of $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ is

$$\frac{2\pi}{n+1}[\underbrace{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1}_{I_1}, \underbrace{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_2}_{I_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_k}_{I_k}]. \tag{27}$$

(see the interpretation in terms of distinguished polygons $\mathcal{D}'_\sigma$ below). The vertices $\rho^\vee_\sigma$ of $\mathcal{Z}$, cf. (25), are their own baricenters for total orderings σ.

The coamoeba $\mathcal{C}$ retracts to $\mathcal{Z}$, because the zonotope sits inside Perm. Furthermore, the phase tropical pair-of-pants $\mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ retracts to the fiber over the origin, which is $\mathcal{C}$. Using a homeomorphism between $\mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ and the complex pair-of-pants P^{n-1} (cf. Theorem 12) we arrive at the following well-known statement.

Proposition 14. *P^{n-1} deformation retracts to $\mathcal{Z}$.*

Now we write down the conditions that cut out the faces of $\mathcal{Z}$. We start with facets. The hyperplane equality (24) for a facet $\mathcal{Z}_{I_-,I_+}$ reads

$$\frac{(\theta_{i_r}+\dots\theta_{i_n})}{s}-\frac{(\theta_{i_0}+\dots\theta_{i_{r-1}})}{r}=\pi. \tag{28}$$

We can interpret this as follows. Given a subset $I\subset\bar{n}$, let

$$\theta_I:=\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{i\in I}\theta_i$$

be the **average angle** in I. Then (28) says that the average angles θ_{I_-} and θ_{I_+} differ by π. The inequalities that cut $\mathcal{Z}_{I_-,I_+}$ are the following. For any subset $I'_-\subset I_-$ the average angle $\theta_{I'_-}$ differs from θ_{I_-} by no more than $\frac{\pi}{n+1}(|I_-|-|I'_-|)$, and same for I_+.

Now we describe the defining conditions for $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ for an arbitrary partition $\sigma=\{I_1,\dots,I_k\}$. Combining the $2^{k-1}-1$ equations (28) associated to all possible 2-partition coarsenings of σ we arrive at the following set of linear equations:

$$\theta_{I_{s+1}}-\theta_{I_s}=\frac{\pi}{n+1}(|I_s|+|I_{s+1}|),\ \text{for all } s=1,\dots,k. \tag{29}$$

To visualize this condition geometrically it is convenient to introduce a **distinguished** k-gon $\mathcal{D}'_\sigma$. Mark $n+1$ equally spaced points on a circle and place the vertices of $\mathcal{D}'_\sigma$ according to the partition $\sigma=\{I_1,\dots,I_k\}$. Then the equations (29) say that for a point in $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ the average angles θ_{I_s} equal angles of the corresponding sides of $\mathcal{D}'_\sigma$.

The inequalities that bound the face $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$ are similar to those for a facet. For any subset $I'_s\subset I_s$ the average angle in I'_s differs from that in θ_{I_s} by no more than $\frac{\pi}{n+1}(|I_s|-|I'_s|)$. The vertices of $\mathcal{Z}$, which correspond to full partitions $\sigma=\{i_0,\dots,i_n\}$, have angles of the regular $(n+1)$-gon.

3.3. **A lift of $\mathcal{Z}$ to P^{n-1}.** One can represent a point of $\mathcal{Z}$ by different polygons varying the side lengths and keeping the angles fixed. Only for $n=2$ the lift is unique: the points in $\mathcal{Z}$ are represented by isosceles triangles with base being the longest side. Next we propose a particular lift of $\mathcal{Z}$ to the pair-of-pants P^{n-1} for any n.

First, the baricenter of a face $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma$, cf. (27), is represented by the polygon $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ which is obtained from the distinguished k-gon $\mathcal{D}'_\sigma$ by dividing each side I_s into $|I_s|$ equal intervals (the order of sides within each subset I_s is irrelevant), see Figure 9. In particular, a vertex of $\mathcal{Z}$ is represented by the regular $(n+1)$-gon. The baricenter of a facet $\mathcal{Z}_{I_-,I_+}$ (which is a



FIGURE 9. Left polygon represents the baricenter of $\mathcal{Z}_{(i_0i_1)i_2i_3i_4}$. Right polygon represents an interior point of the simplex $(i_0i_1i_2)(i_3i_4) \prec (i_0i_1)(i_2)(i_3i_4) \prec (i_0i_1)(i_2)(i_3)(i_4) \prec (i_0)(i_1)(i_2)(i_3)(i_4)$.

vertex of $\mathcal{C}$) is represented by the 2-gon with its two sides divided into $|I_+|$ equal "positive" and $|I_-|$ equal "negative" edges.

Now let $\sigma_0 \prec \cdots \prec \sigma_m$ be a flag of faces of $\mathcal{Z}$. We linearly coordinatize the corresponding m-simplex of the baricentric subdivision of $\mathcal{Z}$ by

$$\{\alpha_j \ : \ \frac{2\pi}{n+1} \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \cdots \geq \alpha_m \geq 0\}, \tag{30}$$

such that the baricenter of a face $\mathcal{Z}_{\sigma_s}$ has coordinates

$$\frac{2\pi}{n+1}(\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{s},\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{m-s}).$$

Now to a point $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ in the relative interior of the simplex we associate a polygon $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ as follows.

We start with the distinguished polygon $\mathcal{D}_0 := \mathcal{D}'_{\sigma_0}$ and build $\mathcal{D}_m$ inductively on $s = 0, \ldots, m$. The polygon $\mathcal{D}_s$ is a k_s-gon with sides labelled by the subsets in $\sigma_s = \{I_1^{(s)}, \ldots, I_{k_s}^{(s)}\}$. Let $\{I_{j_1}^{(s)}, \ldots, I_{j_r}^{(s)}\}$ be the subsets in σ_s which are subdivided in σ_{s+1}. We replace each side $I_{j_l}^{(s)}$ in $\mathcal{D}_s$ by a circular arc of angular measure $|I_{j_l}^{(s)}| \cdot \alpha_s$ connecting the ends of that side. Subdivide each such arc into $|I_{j_l}^{(s)}|$ equal parts and place the new vertices according to the subdivision of the set $I_{j_l}^{(s)}$ in σ_{s+1}. This is the new polygon $\mathcal{D}_{s+1}$ (see Fig. 9). Because of the angular inequalities (30) the polygon $\mathcal{D}_{s+1}$ is still convex. Finally, we subdivide the sides $I_j^{(m)}$ of $\mathcal{D}_m$ into equal intervals according to the sizes of the subsets $I_j^{(m)}$ in σ_m to get the $(n+1)$-gon $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$.

It is easy to see that our lift $\mathcal{Z} \to P^{n-1}$ is continuous and is smooth on the interiors of the facets of $\mathcal{Z}$. Indeed, passing from one baricentric simplex to another within the same facet of $\mathcal{Z}$ amounts to passing through degenerate polygons with some edges aligned. This just changes the ordering of the complex vectors z_i.

4. Phase tropical varieties of hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$

4.1. **Phase tropical varieties.** In this section, we will give the necessary background to state our main result, Theorem 20. We begin with some preliminary definitions which may be found in [GKZ94].

Let $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, $M = \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ and, for any abelian group $\mathbb{K}$ write $M_{\mathbb{K}}$ for $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$ and similarly for N. Let A be a finite subset of M and Q its convex hull in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. We call (Q, A) a **marked polytope** and, if A is affinely independent, we say it is a **marked simplex**. A subdivision $\mathcal{S} = \{(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of (Q, A) is a collection of marked polytopes satisfying:

(1) for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, every face of (Q_γ, A_γ) is in $\mathcal{S}$,
(2) for any $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma$, the intersection $(Q_\gamma \cap Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}, A_\gamma \cap A_{\tilde{\gamma}})$ is in $\mathcal{S}$ and is a face of both (Q_γ, A_γ) and $(Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}, A_{\tilde{\gamma}})$,
(3) the union $\cup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} Q_\gamma$ equals Q.

Note that it is not necessarily the case that $\cup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma = A$.

We will be particularly interested subdivisions that are **coherent**. The basic ingredient in this construction is a function $\eta : A \to \mathbb{Z}$. From η, we define a polyhedron in $M_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}$ as the convex hull

$$Q_\eta := \mathrm{Conv}\left\{(\alpha, r) \in A \times \mathbb{R} : r \geq \eta(\alpha)\right\}. \tag{31}$$

Let $\bar{A}_{\bar{F}}$ be the set of vertices over A of any compact face $\bar{F}$ of Q_η, and take A_F, F to be their projections onto $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Define the subdivision $\mathcal{S}_\eta = \{(F, A_F) : F \text{ a compact face of } Q_\eta\}$. When each (F, A_F) is a marked simplex, we say that η induces a **coherent triangulation** of (Q, A) (see [GKZ94, Chapter 7]).

Consider the piecewise linear function $F_\eta : N_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ (or tropical polynomial) defined by

$$F_\eta(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\alpha(\mathbf{x}) - \eta(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}. \tag{32}$$

The **tropical hypersurface** $\mathcal{H}_\eta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the corner locus of F_η, see, e.g. [MS15] for details. Note that $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ is a polyhedral complex. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{S}_\eta^{\geq k}$ be the set of faces (Q_γ, A_γ) for which $\dim Q_\gamma \geq k$. There is a bijection

$$\mathcal{S}_\eta^{\geq 1} \xrightarrow{\quad\Phi\quad} \mathcal{H}_\eta \tag{33}$$

where $\Phi(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) = \{\mathbf{x} \in N_{\mathbb{R}} : \alpha(\mathbf{x}) - \eta(\alpha) = F_\eta(\mathbf{x}), \text{ for all } \alpha \in A_\gamma\}$. For any $(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_\eta^{\geq 1}$, write $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\gamma}$ for the relative interior of $\Phi(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma)$. Observe that there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_\eta = \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\gamma}. \tag{34}$$

Example 15. Consider an example of $A = \{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (2,3)\}$, and Q its convex hull. Take $\eta : A \to \mathbb{Z}$ equal to 0 except at $(2,3)$, where it equals 1. That gives the coherent triangulation and tropical hypersurface illustrated in Figure 10. The correspondence Φ is indicated by the coloring of the simplices in the triangulation and the faces of the tropical hypersurface.



FIGURE 10. A triangulation and tropical hypersurface induced by η

Turning to complex polynomials, given a Laurent polynomial f in the semi-group ring $\mathbb{C}[M]$, we say that its **marked Newton polytope** is (Q, A) if $f = \sum_{a\in A} c_a z^a$ and $c_a \neq 0$ for all $a \in A$. Given any $(Q', A') \subseteq (Q, A)$, the restriction of f to A' is the polynomial

$$f_{A'} = \sum_{a\in A'} c_a z^a \tag{35}$$

obtained by setting all coefficients of f not in A' to zero.



FIGURE 11. Coamoebas associated to simplices.

Given a Laurent polynomial f, its zero locus H_f lives in the complex torus $N_{\mathbb{C}^*}$. In the notation from 2.4 we have an argument map $\mathrm{Arg} : N_{\mathbb{C}^*} \to N_{\mathbb{T}}$ to the real n-torus $N_{\mathbb{T}}$, which is induced by the usual argument map $\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{T}$. For any polynomial f, we define its **coamoeba** $\mathcal{C}_f \subset N_{\mathbb{T}}$ to be the closure of the image of H_f under the argument map.

Example 16. As will be shown in the next subsection, the coamoeba of a simplex will be the cover of the product of the coamoeba of a pair-of-pants and a torus. Take (A, Q) to be as in Example 15 and a generic polynomial f with marked Newton polytope (A, Q). Consider the restriction of f to 3 of the 7 simplices of positive dimension indicated in



FIGURE 12. The phase tropical hypersurface.

Figure 11. The corresponding coamoebas in the cover $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $N_{\mathbb{T}}$ are illustrated to show their dependence on the simplex.

Definition 17. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[M]$ and (Q, A) be its marked Newton polytope. Given a coherent triangulation $\mathcal{S}_\eta = \{(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ induced by $\eta : A \to \mathbb{Z}$, the **phase tropical hypersurface** of f defined by η is

$$\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} := \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\gamma} \times \mathcal{C}_{f_{A_\gamma}} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{T}} = N_{\mathbb{C}^*}.$$

Example 18. Combining Examples 15 and 16 we obtain a partial picture of a phase tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f}$ illustrated in Figure 12. Here we have not illustrated the coamoebas over the non-compact faces of the tropical hypersurface.

We will also require a compactified version of the phase tropical hypersurface. For this, we identify $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $N_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ via the exponential map and consider the algebraic moment map $\mu : N_{\mathbb{C}^*} \to M_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined as

$$\mu(z) = \frac{1}{\sum_{a\in A} |z^a|} \sum_{a\in A} |z^a| a.$$

It is clear that μ is independent of the $N_{\mathbb{T}}$ factor and, for any $\theta \in N_{\mathbb{T}}$, we denote the restriction of μ to $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{\theta\}$ by $\mu_{\mathbb{R}} : N_{\mathbb{R}} \to M_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is also easy to observe that the image of

μ is the relative interior of Q. If we wish to reference the marked polytope in the notation, we write μ^A for μ.

Definition 19. Let Q be an n-dimensional polytope. The **compactified phase tropical hypersurface** $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f}$ is the closure

$$\overline{(\mu_{\mathbb{R}} \times Id)\,(\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f})} \subset Q \times N_{\mathbb{T}}$$

of the phase tropical hypersurface in $Q \times N_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Of course, we may also compactify the complex hypersurface $H_f \subset N_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ by taking its closure under the image of the moment map. When the Newton polytope of f is n-dimensional, we call

$$\bar{H}_f = \overline{\mu(H_f)} \subset Q \times N_{\mathbb{T}} \tag{36}$$

the compactification of H_f.

The boundaries of $\bar{H}_f$ and $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f}$ both have stratifications indexed by the face lattice of Q. It will be helpful later on to describe these boundary strata intrinsically. Suppose (Q, A) is a positive, but not necessarily full dimensional marked polytope in M, f a polynomial whose marked Newton polytope contains (Q, A) and η is any function on A. Let

$$N(A) := \{\mathbf{x} \in N : a(\mathbf{x}) = a'(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for all } a, a' \in A\}$$

be the subspace which evaluates to constant functions on A and $N_{\mathbb{K}}(A) = N(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$. Then it is easy to see that the tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_\eta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is invariant under translations by $N(A)$. Define the space

$$\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f,A} = \left\{ (\mathbf{x} + N(A), \theta) \in \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{N_{\mathbb{R}}(A)} \times N_{\mathbb{T}} : (\mathbf{x}, \theta) \in \mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f_A} \right\}. \tag{37}$$

We may compactify $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f,A}$ by using the moment map μ^A associated to A. More explicitly, let $\iota_{\mathbb{R}} : N_{\mathbb{R}}/N_{\mathbb{R}}(A) \to N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be any section of the quotient map. Then define

$$\tilde{\mu}^A_{\mathbb{R}} : \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{N_{\mathbb{R}}(A)} \to Q \tag{38}$$

to be the composition $\mu^A_{\mathbb{R}} \circ (\iota_{\mathbb{R}} \times 1)$. As $\mu^A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is invariant with respect to translations by $N(A)$, it is clear that $\tilde{\mu}^A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is independent of the choice of ι. Note that the image of $\tilde{\mu}^A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the relative interior of Q. For the compactification of $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f,A}$ we take

$$\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A} = \overline{(\tilde{\mu}^A_{\mathbb{R}} \times Id)(\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f,A})}. \tag{39}$$

One may relate $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A}$ with the lower dimensional phase tropical hypersurface associated to f. To do this, let $M(A)$ be the saturation of the affine lattice spanned by A, $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{A})$ the image of A in $M(A)$ and $\tilde{\eta} : \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ the function equal to η, then $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\tilde{\eta},f} \times N_{\mathbb{T}}(A)$. To define a homeomorphism, one can use a lift $\iota_{\mathbb{T}} : N_{\mathbb{R}}/N_{\mathbb{R}}(A) \to N_{\mathbb{R}}$ to equate the argument factor of $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f,A}$ with $N_{\mathbb{T}}/N_{\mathbb{T}}(A) \times N_{\mathbb{T}}(A)$.

If $(Q', A') \subset (Q, A)$ is face inclusion where the marked Newton polytope of f containing (Q, A), then there is a natural inclusion

$$i_{A',A} : \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A'} \to \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A} \tag{40}$$

whose image is the inverse image of Q' in $Q \times N_{\mathbb{T}}$ under the moment map. To define this map, it suffices to consider the non-compact strata. But there is already a map $(\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}^{A'} \times Id) : \mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_{\eta,f,A'} \to Q' \times N_{\mathbb{T}} \subset Q \times N_{\mathbb{T}}$ and one can check that this maps bijectively onto $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A}$ over the relative interior of Q'.

Thus we obtain a stratification of the compactified phase tropical hypersurface

$$\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f} = \bigcup_{A' \subset A, |A'| \geq 1} \mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}_{\eta,f,A'} \tag{41}$$

whose strata are in bijective correspondence with the positive dimensional faces of Q.

Turning to the geometry of complex hypersurfaces, we note that their tropical compactifications also carry a stratification by the boundary faces of Q. Here, assume f is a Laurent polynomial with marked Newton polytope containing the face (Q, A). We denote by $H_{f,A}$ the quotient of the hypersurface H_{f_A} in $N_{\mathbb{C}^*} = N_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{T}}$ by $N_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$ (note that f_A is homogeneous with respect to this action, so that the quotient is well defined). The space $H_{f,A}$ is not compact, but again we may compactify by taking its closure under the restricted moment map

$$\bar{H}_{f,A} = \overline{(\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}^{A} \times Id)(H_{f,A})}. \tag{42}$$

As in the phase tropical setting, for a face $(Q', A') \subset (Q, A)$, and marked Newton polytope of f containing (Q, A), there are natural maps

$$j_{A',A} : \bar{H}_{f,A'} \to \bar{H}_{f,A} \tag{43}$$

defined analogously to those in (40). The associated stratification is then

$$\bar{H}_f = \bigcup_{A' \subset A, |A'| > 1} H_{f,A'}. \tag{44}$$

Even for smooth hypersurfaces H_f, the tropical compactification may contain unwanted singularities on the boundary strata. To prevent such singularities, we call a Laurent polynomial f **non-degenerate** if $f_{A'}$ is regular at 0 for all marked faces (Q', A') of (Q, A).

Theorem 20. *Given a non-degenerate polynomial f with marked Newton polytope (Q, A) and $\eta : A \to \mathbb{Z}$ defining a coherent triangulation, there are homeomorphisms ψ, $\bar{\psi}$ for which*

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_f & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{H}_f & \xrightarrow[\bar{\psi}]{} & \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f} \end{array} \tag{45}$$

commutes.

4.2. **Simple hypersurfaces.** Often when considering pair-of-pants decompositions induced by a coherent triangulation $\mathcal{S} = \{(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of a marked polytope (Q, A) (e.g. as in [Mi04]), the simplices (Q_γ, A_γ) are required to have volume $\frac{1}{n!}$ (or normalized volume 1). Such triangulations are referred to as maximal or unimodular. In practice, unimodular triangulations are comparatively rare and there will usually be several simplices in any given triangulation with larger volume. Indeed, there are many cases of marked polytopes without a single unimodular triangulation. For a simplex of volume greater than $\frac{1}{n!}$, the associated phase tropical hypersurface is no longer a pair-of-pants, but rather a finite cover of the pair-of-pants, called a simple hypersurface in [NS13]. Following loc. cit., we take a moment to consider this cover and that of the associated hypersurface H_f.

First, let us establish some notation. Let (Q, B) be a marked simplex in $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which B affinely spans $M_\mathbb{R}$ and

$$f = \sum_{b \in B} c_b z^b \in \mathbb{C}[M]$$

with $c_b \neq 0$ for every $b \in B$. Fix an ordering of $B = \{b_0, \ldots, b_n\}$, write c_γ for c_{b_γ} and consider the map $\phi_B : N_{\mathbb{C}^*} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ defined by

$$\phi_B(z) := [c_0 z^{b_0}, \cdots, c_n z^{b_n}]. \tag{46}$$

One notes that ϕ_B extends via the inverse of the moment map to $\bar{\phi}_B : Q \times N_\mathbb{T} \to \Delta \times \mathbb{T}^n$ where Δ is the standard simplex.

Write $\Xi_B \subset M$ for the sublattice which is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of $\{b_i - b_j : b_i, b_j \in B\}$. Then there are containments $N \subseteq \Xi_B^\vee \subseteq N_\mathbb{R}$ and we write Λ_B for the image of $\Xi_B^\vee$ in the quotient $N_\mathbb{T} = N_\mathbb{R}/N$. Then we have the following basic result.

Lemma 21. *The maps ϕ_B and $\bar{\phi}_B$ are quotient maps by Λ_B. Furthermore, for any subset $I \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n\}$ with $|I| \geq 2$, the restriction of ϕ_B to H_{f_I} is a covering map to the complex pair-of-pants P_I.*

Proof. To verify the statement that ϕ_B is the quotient map, first observe that $\phi_B(z) = [c_0 z^{b_0}, \ldots, c_n z^{b_n}] = [c_0, c_1 z^{b_1 - b_0}, \ldots, c_n z^{b_n - b_0}]$. This implies that ϕ_B factors through the homomorphism $N_{\mathbb{C}^*} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ given by $z \mapsto (z^{b_1 - b_0}, \ldots, z^{b_n - b_0})$ which has kernel Λ_B. The extension to $\bar{\phi}_B$ follows immediately since $\Lambda_B \subset N_\mathbb{T}$ acts only on the $N_\mathbb{T}$ factor.

The fact that ϕ_B and $\bar{\phi}_B$ restrict to give covering maps from the hypersurface H_{f_I} to the pair-of-pants follows from the definition of $P_I \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ as the zero locus of $\sum_{i\in I} z_i = 0$. In particular, f_I equals the pullback $\phi_B^*(\sum_{i\in I} z_i)$, so that ϕ_B restricts to H_{f_I} to give a well defined and an onto map. □

We now turn our attention to the phase tropical hypersurface of a marked simplex (Q, B) with function $\eta : B \to \mathbb{R}$ and polynomial f. We first make an observation that the tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ depends on η only up to a translation in $N_\mathbb{R}$. In particular, since η is defined on a simplex, it is the restriction of an affine function $n_\eta + c$ on $M_\mathbb{R}$ to B where $n_\eta \in N_\mathbb{R}$ and c is a constant. In this instance, one observes that $\mathcal{H}_\eta = \mathcal{H}_\mathbf{0} + n_\eta$. The coamoeba $\mathcal{C}_{f_{B_I}}$ is independent of the function η and only depend on the face B_I and the arguments of the coefficients $\{c_i\}$ of f. Thus, there is an elementary homeomorphism $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} \cong \mathcal{TH}_{\mathbf{0},f}$ given by translating by n_η in the $N_\mathbb{R}$ factor of $N_\mathbb{R} \times N_\mathbb{T}$. Consequently, the topology of the phase tropical hypersurface of a simplex is independent of the function η. For convenience, we choose $\eta_f : B \to \mathbb{R}$ to be the function $\eta_f(b_i) = -\log|c_i|$.

Lemma 22. *The restriction of $\bar{\phi}_B$ to $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta_f,f}$ is a covering map onto $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{n-1}$.*

Proof. View the map $\phi_B : N_{\mathbb{C}^*} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ as a map from $N_\mathbb{R} \times N_\mathbb{T}$ to $(\mathbb{C}*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Consider the linear map $\xi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to M_\mathbb{R}$ defined by $\xi(e_i) = b_i$ and let $\mathbf{c} = \sum_i \log|c_i| e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. It is then clear that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_\mathbb{R} \times N_\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^* \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Log}} \\ N_\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow[\xi^\vee + \mathbf{c}]{} & \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R} \end{array} \tag{47}$$

commutes where π_1 is projection to the first factor. Furthermore, the composition of the affine map $\xi^\vee + \mathbf{c} : N_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with every dual basis vector $e_i^\vee : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ yields the map $b_i - \eta_f(b_i)$. Thus, the tropical polynomial for the standard pair-of-pants pulls back to F_{η_f} and $\xi^\vee + \mathbf{c}$ maps the tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_f$ to the tropical pair-of-pants $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}$.

For $I \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n\}$ with $|I| \geq 2$ and $B_I = \{b_i : i \in I\}$, over the face $\Phi(B_I, Q_I)$ of the tropical hypersurface, in the phase tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{TH}_{f,\eta_f}$, lies the coamoeba $\mathcal{C}_{f_{B_I}}$. Utilizing Lemma 21 and a commutative diagram analogous to (47) with argument maps, we have that $\mathcal{C}_{f_{B_I}}$ maps to the coamoeba $\mathcal{C}_I$. This implies the result. □

Combining Lemmas 21 and 22, we obtain an extension of Theorem 12 to the simple hypersurface case.

Theorem 23. *Given a marked simplex (Q, B) which affinely spans $M_\mathbb{R}$ and any $\eta : B \to \mathbb{R}$, there is a homeomorphism $\bar{\psi} : \bar{H}_f \to \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this lemma in the non-compact case. We write $\phi : P^{n-1} \to \mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ for the homeomorphism in Theorem 12. Note that both inclusions $P^{n-1} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ and

$\mathcal{TP}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ induce isomorphisms on first homology (and, on the fundamental group when $n > 2$). This follows from Proposition 14, which asserts that Z is a skeleton for the complex and phase tropical pair-of-pants, as well as the fact that the permutahedral tiling covering Z in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is simply connected for $n \geq 3$ and is the universal abelian cover for $n = 2$ (see also [Sh11]). Moreover, it is evident from the construction of ψ that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(P^{n-1};\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{H(\phi)} & H_1(\mathcal{TP}^{n-1};\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow^{\approx} \quad \swarrow_{\approx} & \\ & H_1((\mathbb{C}^*)^n;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n & \end{array} \tag{48}$$

commutes.

By Lemmas 21 and 22, H_f and $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f}$ are covers of P^{n-1} and $\mathcal{TP}^{n-1}$ obtained by pulling back the subspaces along the cover $\phi_B : N_{\mathbb{C}^*} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. This cover corresponds to a sublattice of $H_1((\mathbb{C}^*)^n;\mathbb{Z}) \approx \pi_1((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)$. The commutativity of (48), pulled back along the Hurewicz homomorphism, then implies that $\pi_1(\phi)$ takes the normal subgroup associated to $\phi_B|_{H_f}$ to that of $\phi_B|_{\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f}}$ implying the result. □

The arguments given in Lemmas 21 and 22 easily extend to the strata $\bar{H}_{f,B'}$ and $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,B'}$. We record the stratified version of Theorem 23 as a corollary.

Corollary 24. *Given a marked simplex (Q, B) contained in the marked Newton polytope of f, $\eta : B \to \mathbb{R}$ and any sub-simplex (Q', B'), there are homeomorphisms $\bar{\psi}_{B'}$ and $\bar{\psi}_B$ for which*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{H}_{f,B'} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{B',B}} & \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,B'} \\ {\scriptstyle j_{B',B}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{B',B}} \\ \bar{H}_{f,B} & \xrightarrow[\bar{\psi}]{} & \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,B} \end{array} \tag{49}$$

commutes.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 20.** Having extended Theorem 12 to simple hypersurfaces and their stratified compactifications, we now apply the results of Milkalkin [Mi04] to obtain Theorem 20.

Proof of Theorem 20. One first observes that, since f is assumed to be non-degenerate, H_f is diffeomorphic to H_g for any other non-degenerate function g with marked Newton polytope (Q, A). To see this, one can compactify $N_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ to the toric variety Y_Q and resolve all singularities of the toric boundary to obtain X_Q with a normal crossing divisor D. Then Laurent polynomials with Newton polytope Q may be identified with a dense open subset of sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ determined by Q. Moreover, the condition of

non-degeneracy implies that the zero locus Z_f, which is an analytic compactification of H_f, transversely intersects the divisor D. Taking a family $\xi : [0,1] \to \Gamma(X_Q, \mathcal{O}(1))$ of such non-degenerate sections, one may consider the incidence variety $\mathcal{Y} = \{(t,z) : z \in Z_{\xi(t)}\}$ along with the function $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \to [0,1]$ induced by projection. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{(t,z) \in \mathcal{Y} : z \in D\}$. By the openness of the transversality condition, π and $\pi|_D$ are trivial families and, equipping $\mathcal{Y}$ with a connection for which $\mathcal{D}$ is horizontal and taking parallel transport gives a diffeomorphism of the pair $(Z_{\xi(0)}, Z_{\xi(0)} \cap D)$ with $(Z_{\xi(1)}, Z_{\xi(1)} \cap D)$. Excising the respective subspaces then produces the diffeomorphism.

Next we note that, for $\log|t| \ll 0$ the polynomial $f_t = \sum_{a \in A} c_a t^{\eta(a)} z^a$ is non-degenerate, regardless of the coefficients $\mathbf{c} := (c_a) \in \mathbb{C}^A$ (cf. [GKZ94]). In particular, an alternative definition of a non-degenerate polynomial f is that the principal A-determinant $E_A(f)$ is non-zero. By [GKZ94, Theorem 10.1.4], E_A is a polynomial in the coefficients (c_a) whose Newton polytope is the secondary polytope $\Sigma(A)$. It can be shown that Log of the coefficients $\mathbf{c}_t$ of f_t lie in the interior of the cone dual to the triangulation defined by η for sufficiently small $|t|$. This implies that, for such f_t, the $\mathrm{Log}(\mathbf{c}_t)$ lies outside the amoeba of E_A implying $E_A(\mathbf{c}_t) \neq 0$.

To complete the proof we apply the reconstruction result [Mi04, Theorem 4], adapted to the non-unimodular case. In this modified form, it asserts that for η inducing the coherent triangulation $\mathcal{S} = \{(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, there is homeomorphism between $\bar{H}_f$ and the topological space

$$\bar{H}_f \approx \lim_{\to} \bar{H}_{f,A_\gamma}. \tag{50}$$

Here we mean that one may consider the lattice of $\mathcal{S}$ given by inclusions as a category and $\bar{H}_{f,-}$ as a functor from $\mathcal{S}$ to topological spaces. Then the limit of this functor is achieved by gluing simple hypersurfaces along common boundary strata. However, the phase tropical hypersurface may be decomposed along similar lines by using η to triangulate $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Here we have $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} Y_\gamma$, where Y_γ is the fiber of the phase tropical hypersurface over the associated simplex in the triangulation of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. After rescaling each Y_γ, it is evident that $Y_\gamma \approx \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A_\gamma}$ compatible with inclusion maps $i_{A_\gamma,A_{\tilde{\gamma}}}$, when $(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) \subset (Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}, A_{\tilde{\gamma}})$. In particular, we have that

$$\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f} \approx \lim_{\to} \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,A_\gamma}. \tag{51}$$

Here again we regard this as a limit of the functor from the category $\mathcal{S}$ to topological spaces taking (Q_γ, A_γ) to $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,-}$ and inclusions $(Q_\gamma, A_\gamma) \subset (Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}, A_{\tilde{\gamma}})$ to $i_{A_\gamma,A_{\tilde{\gamma}}}$.

By Corollary 24, we have a natural isomorphism of functors from $\bar{H}_{f,-}$ to $\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f,-}$ implying their limits are homeomorphic. Equations (50) and (51) then give that $\bar{H}_f \approx \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\eta,f}$. Removing the boundary strata on both sides of the equation gives the open case. □

4.4. **Monodromy.** As one observes from Example 18, that the polyhedral complex of a phase tropical hypersurface gives one extraordinary control over the geometry and topology of the complex hypersurface. As another example of this, we briefly sketch a potential application of Theorem 20. The function η on A arises in toric geometry in order to

produce a degeneration $F_\eta : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{C}$ of a family of hypersurfaces with $F_\eta^{-1}(1) = H_f$ (see, e.g. [Mu73]). In this setting, an important invariant is the holonomy $\xi_\eta : H_f \to H_f$ associated to F_η and a connection on $\mathcal{Y}$. A geometric characterization of the isotopy class of ξ_η, or the monodromy of the degeneration, has been the subject of several investigations in tropical geometry (cf. [MZh14], [Ya15]). The class has been identified, but here we produce an explicit map on phase tropical hypersurface.

We note that every phase tropical hypersurface $\mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f}$ carries a distinguished automorphism $\mathcal{T}\xi_\eta : \mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} \to \mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{T}\xi_\eta(n_1, n_2) = (n_1, n_2 + n_1). \tag{52}$$

With a parametrized version of Theorem 20 in hand, one may establish the following:

Conjecture 25. *The following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_f & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} \\ {\scriptstyle \xi_\eta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{T}\xi_\eta} \\ H_f & \xrightarrow[\psi]{} & \mathcal{TH}_{\eta,f} \end{array} \tag{53}$$

commutes up to isotopy.

References

[Er94] R. M. Erdahl. *Zonotopes, Dicings, and Voronoi's Conjecture on Parallelohedra.* European Journal of Combinatorics, Volume 20, Issue 6,1999, 527-549.

[Fo98] R. Forman. *Morse theory for cell complexes.* Adv. Math. 134 (1998), 90-145.

[FU14] M. Futaki and K. Ueda. *Tropical Coamoeba and Torus-Equivariant Homological Mirror Symmetry for the Projective Space.* Commun. Math. Phys. (2014) 332, 53-87.

[GKZ94] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants.* Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[GS67] B. Grünbaum and V. P. Sreedharan. *An enumeration of simplicial 4-polytopes with 8 vertices.* Journal of Combinatorial Theory (1967), Vol. 2, Issue 4, 437-465.

[KN16] Y. Kim and M. Nisse. *Geometry and a natural symplectic structure of phase tropical hypersurfaces.* arxiv.org/abs/1609.002181.

[MZh14] G.Mikhalkin and I. Zharkov. *Tropical Eigenwave and Intermediate Jacobians*, in *Homological Mirror Symmetry and Tropical Geometry.* Vol 15, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, 309-349.

[Mu73] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat. *Toroidal Embeddings.* Lect. Notes in Math. 339, Springer 1973.

[MS15] D. Maglagan and B. Sturmfels. *Introduction to Tropical Geometry.* Graduate Text in Mathematics, Vol. 161, AMS 2015.

[Mi04] G. Mikhalkin. *Decomposition into pairs-of-pants for complex algebraic hypersurfaces.* Topology Vol. 43 (2004), Issue 5, 1035-1065.

[Mi06] G. Mikhalkin. *Tropical Geometry and its Application.* Proceedings of the ICM, Madrid 2006, 827-852.

[NS13] M. Nisse and F. Sottile. *Non-Archimedean coamoebae*, in *Tropical and non-Archimedean geometry*. 73-91, Contemp. Math. 605, Amer. Math. Soc. 2013.

[RSTZ14] H. Ruddat, N. Sibilla, D. Treumann and E. Zaslow. *Skeleta of affine hypersurfaces*. Geometry & Topology, 18 (2014), 1343-1395

[Sh11] N. Sheridan. *On the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for pairs of pants*. Journal of Differential Geometry, 89 (2011), no. 2, 271-367.

[Vi11] O. Viro. *On basic concepts of tropical geometry (Russian)*. Trudy MIAN , 2011, vol. 273, 271-303. English translation in Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V.A. Steklova.

[Ya15] Y. Yamamoto. *Geometric Monodromy around the Tropical Limit*. arxiv.org/abs/1509.00175.

Kansas State University, 138 Cardwell Hall, Manhattan, KS 66506
E-mail address: gdkerr@ksu.edu, zharkov@ksu.edu